

UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN  
INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA (CI<sup>2</sup>MA)



An augmented mixed finite element method for the  
vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation of the Stokes equations

VERONICA ANAYA, DAVID MORA,  
RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER

PREPRINT 2013-17

SERIE DE PRE-PUBLICACIONES



# An augmented mixed finite element method for the vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation of the Stokes equations

Verónica Anaya<sup>a</sup>, David Mora<sup>\*,a,b</sup>, Ricardo Ruiz-Baier<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Casilla 5-C, Concepción, Chile.*

<sup>b</sup>*Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (C<sup>2</sup>MA), Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile.*

<sup>c</sup>*Modelling and Scientific Computing, MATHICSE, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.*

---

## Abstract

This paper deals with the numerical approximation of the stationary Stokes equations, formulated in terms of vorticity, velocity and pressure, with general boundary conditions. Here, by introducing a Galerkin least-squares term, we end up with a stabilized variational formulation that can be recast as a twofold saddle point problem. We propose two families of mixed finite elements to solve the discrete problem, in the first family, the unknowns are approximated by piecewise continuous and quadratic elements, Brezzi-Douglas-Marini, and piecewise constant finite elements, respectively, while in the second family, the unknowns are approximated by piecewise linear and continuous, Raviart-Thomas, and piecewise constant finite elements, respectively. The wellposedness of the resulting continuous and discrete variational problems are studied employing an extension of the Babuška-Brezzi theory. We establish a priori error estimates in the natural norms, and we finally report some numerical experiments illustrating the behavior of the numerical schemes and confirming our theoretical findings.

*Key words:* Stokes equations, vorticity-based formulation, mixed finite elements, error analysis.  
*2000 MSC:* 65N30, 65N12, 76D07, 65N15.

---

## 1. Introduction

A fundamental role in a wide range of applied problems is represented by the study of reliable and effective numerical methods to approximate the flow field. In particular, we are interested in the numerical study of the Stokes equations [22]. Numerous stabilization techniques for Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems are available from the literature, tailored for diverse specific applications (see for instance [1, 3, 7, 10, 16, 24]). We focus our attention on the so-called augmented mixed finite elements, also known as Galerkin least-squares methods, where some terms are added to the variational formulation so that the resulting augmented variational formulations are defined by strongly coercive bilinear forms, or in order to allow the fulfillment of the inf-sup condition at the continuous and discrete levels in mixed formulations (see [18]). This approach has been recently

---

\*Corresponding author: Phone: +56-41-3111146.

*Email addresses:* `vanaya@ubiobio.cl` (Verónica Anaya), `dmora@ubiobio.cl` (David Mora), `ricardo.ruiz@epfl.ch` (Ricardo Ruiz-Baier)

considered in [4, 14, 15, 19] for stationary Stokes and generalized Stokes equations, and in [5] for an augmented mixed formulation applied to elliptic problems with mixed boundary conditions.

The main purpose of this paper is to propose, analyze and implement a stabilized finite element approximation of the Stokes equations written in terms of the vorticity, velocity, and pressure fields. This exhibits the advantage that the vorticity unknown (which is a sought quantity of practical interest in several industrial applications) can be accessed directly, with the desired accuracy, and without the need of postprocessing. This seems to be a quite difficult task in mixed methods written only in terms of vector potential-vorticity (see e.g. [11, 22]). Among the available results in the context of mixed finite elements for vorticity-based formulations, we mention the least-squares linear method analyzed in [11], the  $\mathbb{P}_0 - \mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  formulation introduced in [2], the  $\mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{RT}_0 - \mathbb{P}_0$  and variants proposed in [13, 26], and the augmented formulation in [19], written also in terms of stresses. Our case relates to these methods, however our variational formulation is based on the introduction of a suitable Galerkin least-squares term which lets us analyze the problem directly within the framework developed in e.g. [17, 20] (see also [18] for a similar approach applied to the equations of linear elasticity with mixed boundary conditions). The proposed mixed finite element method can be recast as a twofold saddle point problem, and therefore, using an extension of the well-known Babuška-Brezzi theory developed in [17, 20], we show that the formulation is well posed and stable in the natural norms. For the numerical approximation, we propose two families of finite elements. In the first one, classical Brezzi-Douglas-Marini finite elements are employed for the velocity field and piecewise constants for the pressure. Since we are interested in accurately recovering the vorticity field, we use a quadratic Lagrange finite element approximation. For the second method, we consider the family introduced in [13], i.e., piecewise linear and continuous finite elements for the vorticity, classical Raviart-Thomas elements for the velocity field and piecewise constants for the pressure. For these methods we prove uniform inf-sup conditions with respect to the discretization parameter  $h$ , and the convergence rates are proved to be linear whenever the exact solution of the problem is regular enough.

### Outline

We have organized the contents of this paper as follows. The remainder of this section introduces some standard notation and needed functional spaces and we describe the boundary value problem of interest and presents the associate dual mixed variational formulation. In Section 2, we introduce the stabilized variational formulation, we provide an abstract framework where our formulation lies, and we prove its unique solvability along with some stability properties. In Section 3 we present two mixed finite element schemes, we provide a stability result and obtain error estimates for the proposed methods. Several numerical results illustrating the convergence behavior predicted by the theory and allowing us to assess the performance of the methods are collected in Section 4.

### Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega$  be a polygonal Lipschitz bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . For  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{s,\Omega}$  stands for the norm of the Hilbertian Sobolev spaces  $H^s(\Omega)$  or  $H^s(\Omega)^2$ , with the convention  $H^0(\Omega) := L^2(\Omega)$ . We also define for  $s \geq 0$  the Hilbert space

$$H^s(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) := \{\mathbf{v} \in H^s(\Omega)^n : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} \in H^s(\Omega)\},$$

whose norm is given by  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^s(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)}^2 := \|\mathbf{v}\|_{s,\Omega}^2 + \|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{s,\Omega}^2$  and denote  $H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) := H^0(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$ .

Moreover, we will denote with  $c$  and  $C$ , with or without subscripts, tildes, or hats a generic constant independent of the mesh parameter  $h$ , which may take different values in different occurrences. In addition, we use the following notation for any vector field  $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_{i=1,2}$  and any scalar

field  $\theta$ :

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} := \partial_1 v_1 + \partial_2 v_2, \quad \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v} := \partial_1 v_2 - \partial_2 v_1, \quad \nabla \theta := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \theta \\ \partial_2 \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{curl} \theta := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_2 \theta \\ -\partial_1 \theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### Vorticity-velocity-pressure Stokes problem

Let us assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded and simply connected Lipschitz domain. We denote by  $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2}$  the outward unit normal vector to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and by  $\mathbf{t} = (t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2}$  the unit tangent vector to  $\partial\Omega$  oriented such that  $t_1 = -n_2$ ,  $t_2 = n_1$ . Moreover, we assume that  $\partial\Omega$  admits a disjoint partition  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma \cup \Sigma$ . For the sake of simplicity, we also assume that both  $\Gamma$  and  $\Sigma$  have positive measure.

We are interested in the Stokes problem, formulated in terms of the velocity  $\mathbf{u}$ , the pressure  $p$  and the vorticity  $w$  of an incompressible viscous fluid (see e.g. [2, 12, 13, 26, 22]). Given a force density  $\mathbf{f}$ , vector fields  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , and scalar fields  $p_0$  and  $w_0$ , we seek a scalar field  $w$ , a vector field  $\mathbf{u}$  and a scalar field  $p$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \nu \mathbf{curl} w + \nabla p = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w - \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t} & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ p = p_0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{n} & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ w = w_0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t}$  stand for the normal and the tangential components of the velocity, respectively. In the model,  $\nu > 0$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid.

In addition we assume that a *boundary compatibility condition* holds, i.e., there exists a velocity field  $\mathbf{w} \in L^2(\Omega)^2$  satisfying  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}$  on  $\Sigma$ , and  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  on  $\Gamma$ . For a detailed study on different types of standard and non-standard boundary conditions for incompressible flows we refer to [6, 23].

For the sake of simplicity, we will work with homogeneous boundary conditions for the normal velocity and for the vorticity, i.e.,  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $w_0 = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ .

After testing with adequate functions and imposing the boundary conditions, we obtain the following variational formulation of problem (1.1):

Find  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in Z \times H \times Q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \int_{\Omega} w \theta - \nu \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{curl} \theta \cdot \mathbf{u} &= \nu \langle \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}, \theta \rangle_{\Sigma} \quad \forall \theta \in Z, \\ -\nu \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{curl} w \cdot \mathbf{v} + \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} &= - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, p_0 \rangle_{\Sigma} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in H, \\ \int_{\Omega} q \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} &= 0 \quad \forall q \in Q, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where the spaces above are defined as follows:

$$Z := \{\theta \in H^1(\Omega) : \theta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}, \quad H := \{\mathbf{v} \in H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega) : \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}, \quad \text{and } Q := L^2(\Omega).$$

We endow each space with the natural norms. Moreover,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Sigma}$  denotes the duality pairing between  $H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)'$  and  $H_0^{1/2}(\Sigma)$  with respect to the  $L^2(\Sigma)^2$ -inner product. We note that because of the

boundedness of the normal trace operator  $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  from  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}; \Omega)$  onto  $\mathbf{H}^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  and to the continuity of the restriction operator from  $\mathbf{H}^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$  to  $\mathbf{H}_{00}^{1/2}(\Gamma)'$ , we conclude that  $\mathbf{H}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}; \Omega)$ . (We recall that  $\mathbf{H}_{00}^{1/2}(\Gamma)'$  is the dual of  $\mathbf{H}_{00}^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ , which in its turn is the space of functions from  $\mathbf{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  whose extension by zero to the whole boundary  $\partial\Omega$  belongs to  $\mathbf{H}^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ ).

We stress that the existence and uniqueness of solution to problem (1.2) was proved in [12, Theorem 3].

## 2. A stabilized mixed formulation of the Stokes problem

### 2.1. Formulation and preliminary results

In this section, we propose an augmented dual-mixed variational formulation of problem (1.1). We suggest to enrich the mixed variational formulation (1.2) with a residual arising from the first equation of system (1.1). This approach permits us to analyze the problem directly under the abstract theory developed in [17, 20]. More precisely, we add to the variational problem (1.2) the following Galerkin least-squares term:

$$\kappa \int_{\Omega} (\nu \mathbf{curl} w + \nabla p - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \mathbf{curl} \theta = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in Z, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\kappa$  is a positive parameter to be specified later. Using an integration by parts, the fact that  $\text{div}(\mathbf{curl} \theta) = 0$ , and the boundary condition given in (1.1), we may rewrite (2.3) equivalently as follows:

$$\kappa \nu \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{curl} w \cdot \mathbf{curl} \theta = \kappa \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \theta - \kappa \langle \nabla \theta \cdot \mathbf{t}, p_0 \rangle_{\Sigma} \quad \forall \theta \in Z.$$

In this way, and in addition to (1.2), we propose the following augmented variational formulation:

Find  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in Z \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{Q}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(w, \theta) + b_1(\theta, \mathbf{u}) &= G(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in Z, \\ b_1(w, \mathbf{v}) + b_2(p, \mathbf{v}) &= F(\mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}, \\ b_2(q, \mathbf{u}) &= 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{Q}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where the bilinear forms  $a : Z \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_1 : Z \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_2 : \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and the linear functionals  $G : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $F : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$a(w, \theta) = \nu \int_{\Omega} w \theta + \kappa \nu \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{curl} w \cdot \mathbf{curl} \theta, \quad (2.5)$$

$$b_1(\theta, \mathbf{v}) := -\nu \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{curl} \theta \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$b_2(q, \mathbf{v}) := \int_{\Omega} q \text{div} \mathbf{v}, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(\theta) &:= \nu \langle \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}, \theta \rangle_{\Sigma} + \kappa \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{curl} \theta - \kappa \langle \nabla \theta \cdot \mathbf{t}, p_0 \rangle_{\Sigma}, \\ F(\mathbf{v}) &:= - \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, p_0 \rangle_{\Sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $w, \theta \in Z$ ,  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H$ , and  $q \in Q$ .

In order to analyze our stabilized variational formulation (2.4), we recall the following results given in [17, 20] related to the Babuška-Brezzi theory.

Let  $Z, H$  and  $Q$  be Hilbert spaces with duals  $Z', H'$  and  $Q'$ , respectively. Consider the following bounded bilinear forms  $a : Z \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_1 : Z \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b_2 : Q \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and the linear functionals  $G : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $P : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We are interested in the following variational problem: *Given  $(G, F, P) \in Z' \times H' \times Q'$ , find  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in Z \times H \times Q$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} a(w, \theta) + b_1(\theta, \mathbf{u}) &= G(\theta) & \forall \theta \in Z, \\ b_1(w, \mathbf{v}) - d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) + b_2(p, \mathbf{v}) &= F(\mathbf{v}) & \forall \mathbf{v} \in H, \\ b_2(q, \mathbf{u}) &= P(q) & \forall q \in Q. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The following theorem establishes the existence and uniqueness of solution to (2.8).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K_2 := \{\mathbf{v} \in H : b_2(q, \mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \forall q \in Q\}$  and assume that*

- *There exists  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in H \\ \mathbf{v} \neq 0}} \frac{|b_2(q, \mathbf{v})|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_H} \geq c_2 \|q\|_Q \quad \forall q \in Q.$$

- *There exists  $c_1 > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\substack{\theta \in Z \\ \theta \neq 0}} \frac{|b_1(\theta, \mathbf{v})|}{\|\theta\|_Z} \geq c_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_H \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K_2.$$

- *The bilinear form  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive semi-definite, that is*

$$d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K_2.$$

- *The bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is  $Z$ -elliptic, that is, there exists  $c_3 > 0$  such that*

$$a(\theta, \theta) \geq c_3 \|\theta\|_Z^2 \quad \forall \theta \in Z.$$

*Then, for each  $(G, F, P) \in Z' \times H' \times Q'$  there exists a unique  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in Z \times H \times Q$  solution of (2.8). Moreover, there exists  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \|a\|, \|b_1\|, \|b_2\|$  and  $\|d\|$  such that*

$$\|w\|_Z + \|\mathbf{u}\|_H + \|p\|_Q \leq C(\|G\|_{Z'} + \|F\|_{H'} + \|P\|_{Q'}).$$

PROOF. See [20, Theorem 2.2]. □

We will also need the Galerkin approximations of (2.8). To this end, we let  $Z_h, H_h$  and  $Q_h$  be finite dimensional subspaces of  $Z, H$  and  $Q$ , respectively. Then, the Galerkin scheme associated with (2.8) reads as follows: Find  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in Z_h \times H_h \times Q_h$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(w_h, \theta_h) + b_1(\theta_h, \mathbf{u}_h) &= G(\theta_h) & \forall \theta_h \in Z_h, \\ b_1(w_h, \mathbf{v}_h) - d(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_2(p_h, \mathbf{v}_h) &= F(\mathbf{v}_h) & \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in H_h, \\ b_2(q_h, \mathbf{u}_h) &= P(q_h) & \forall q_h \in Q_h. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Now, we also recall the discrete analogue of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $K_{2h} := \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h : b_2(q_h, \mathbf{v}_h) = 0 \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h\}$ . Assume that

- There exists  $\bar{c}_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h \\ \mathbf{v}_h \neq 0}} \frac{|b_2(q_h, \mathbf{v}_h)|}{\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}}} \geq \bar{c}_2 \|q_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h.$$

- There exists  $\bar{c}_1 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\theta_h \in \mathbf{Z}_h \\ \theta_h \neq 0}} \frac{|b_1(\theta_h, \mathbf{v}_h)|}{\|\theta_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}}} \geq \bar{c}_1 \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}} \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in K_{2h}.$$

- The bilinear form  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive semi-definite, that is,

$$d(\mathbf{v}_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \geq 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in K_{2h}.$$

- The bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -elliptic, that is, there exists  $\bar{c}_3 > 0$  such that

$$a(\theta, \theta) \geq \bar{c}_3 \|\theta\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then, there exists a unique  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in \mathbf{Z}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h$  solution of (2.9). Moreover, there exists  $\bar{C} > 0$ , depending only on  $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \bar{c}_3, \|a\|, \|b_1\|, \|b_2\|$  and  $\|d\|$  such that

$$\|w_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}} + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|p_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}} \leq \bar{C} (\|G_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}'_h} + \|F_h\|_{\mathbf{H}'_h} + \|P_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}'_h}),$$

where  $G_h := G|_{\mathbf{Z}_h}$ ,  $F_h := F|_{\mathbf{H}_h}$  and  $P_h := P|_{\mathbf{Q}_h}$ .

PROOF. See [20, Theorem 3.2]. □

The following theorem establishes the corresponding C ea estimate.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{Q}$  and  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in \mathbf{Z}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h$  be the unique solution of (2.8) and (2.9), respectively. Then, there exists  $\hat{C} > 0$ , independent of  $h$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w - w_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|p - p_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}} \\ & \leq \hat{C} \inf_{(\theta_h, \mathbf{v}_h, q_h) \in \mathbf{Z}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h} (\|w - \theta_h\|_{\mathbf{Z}} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}} + \|p - q_h\|_{\mathbf{Q}}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It follows from [20, Theorem 3.3]. □

## 2.2. Unique solvability of the stabilized formulation

We will now turn to prove that the stabilized variational formulation (2.4) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.7.** Assume that  $\kappa > 0$ , then problem (2.4) admits a unique solution  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{Q}$ . Moreover, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|w\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega)} + \|p\|_{0,\Omega} \leq C (\|\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}\|_{-1/2,\Sigma} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{0,\Omega} + \|p_0\|_{1/2,\Sigma}).$$

PROOF. It suffices to verify the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1. First, we note that in our case  $d = 0$ . Moreover, we observe that the bilinear forms  $a$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are bounded. Furthermore, it is well known that the bilinear form  $b_2$  (see (2.7)) satisfies the continuous inf-sup condition on  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{H}$ .

Now, we characterize the null space of the bilinear form  $b_2$ , which is needed to prove the continuous inf-sup condition for the bilinear form  $b_1$  (see (2.6)).

$$K_2 := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H} : b_2(q, \mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{Q}\} = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H} : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

The next step consists in proving that the bilinear form  $b_1$  satisfies the continuous inf-sup condition on  $Z \times K_2$ . Then, given  $\mathbf{v} \in K_2$ , since  $\mathbf{v}$  is divergence free in  $\Omega$ , which is simply connected, there exists a scalar function  $z \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{curl} z$  in  $\Omega$  and  $z = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  (see e.g. [22]). Therefore, using the Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\sup_{\substack{\theta \in Z \\ \theta \neq 0}} \frac{b_1(\theta, \mathbf{v})}{\|\theta\|_{1,\Omega}} \geq \frac{b_1(z, \mathbf{v})}{\|z\|_{1,\Omega}} \geq C_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega} = C_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in K_2,$$

which establishes the continuous inf-sup condition for  $b_1$ . Next, we have that the bilinear form  $a$  (see (2.5)) is clearly  $Z$ -elliptic, in fact, given  $\theta \in Z$  it holds that

$$a(\theta, \theta) = \nu \|\theta\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \kappa \nu \|\theta\|_{1,\Omega}^2 \geq c \|\theta\|_{1,\Omega}^2,$$

where  $c = \min\{\nu, \kappa\nu\}$ . Finally, the linear functionals  $F$  and  $G$  are bounded and we have that

$$\|G\|_{Z'} \leq c(\|\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}\|_{-1/2,\Sigma} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{0,\Omega} + \|p_0\|_{1/2,\Sigma}),$$

and

$$\|F\|_{\mathbf{H}'} \leq c(\|\mathbf{f}\|_{0,\Omega} + \|p_0\|_{1/2,\Sigma}),$$

which finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. The finite element scheme

In this section we will construct two finite element schemes associated to (2.4), we define explicit finite element subspaces yielding the unique solvability of the discrete schemes, derive the a priori error estimates, and provide the rate of convergence of the methods.

Let  $\mathcal{T}_h$  be a regular family of triangulations of the polygonal region  $\bar{\Omega}$  by triangles  $T$  of diameter  $h_T$  with mesh size  $h := \max\{h_T : T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$ , and such that there holds  $\bar{\Omega} = \cup\{T : T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$ . In addition, given an integer  $k \geq 0$  and a subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{P}_k(S)$  the space of polynomials in two variables defined in  $S$  of total degree at most  $k$ .

We define the following finite element subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_h &:= \{\theta_h \in Z : \theta_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_2(T), \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \\ \mathbf{H}_h &:= \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{v}_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_1(T)^2, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \\ \mathbf{Q}_h &:= \{q_h \in \mathbf{Q} : q_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_0(T), \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the Galerkin scheme associated with the continuous variational formulation (2.4) reads as follows: Find  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in Z_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(w_h, \theta_h) + b_1(\theta_h, \mathbf{u}_h) &= G(\theta_h) \quad \forall \theta_h \in Z_h, \\ b_1(w_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_2(p_h, \mathbf{v}_h) &= F(\mathbf{v}_h) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h, \\ b_2(q_h, \mathbf{u}_h) &= 0 \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $\kappa > 0$  being the same parameter employed in the continuous formulation (2.4).

Throughout the rest of this section, we will show that the discrete variational formulation (3.10) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. With this aim, we recall some notation which will be used in the following.

We introduce the Brezzi-Douglas-Marini interpolation operator  $\mathcal{R} : \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)^2 \cap \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_h$  for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ , which is characterized by the identities (see [8]).

$$\int_{\ell} (\mathcal{R}\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\ell})r = \int_{\ell} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\ell})r \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{P}_1(\ell)$$

for all edge  $\ell$  of  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ , with  $\mathbf{n}_{\ell}$  being a unit normal vector to the edge  $\ell$ .

Let us review some properties of operator  $\mathcal{R}$  that we will use in the sequel:

- There exists  $c > 0$ , independent of  $h$ , such that for all  $s \in (0, 1]$  (see [8])

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{R}\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega)} \leq ch^s \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s(\text{div};\Omega)} \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^s(\text{div};\Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}. \quad (3.11)$$

Now, for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ , let  $\Pi : \mathbf{H}^{1+s}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_h$  be the usual Lagrange interpolant. This operator satisfies the following error estimate:

- There exists  $c > 0$ , independent of  $h$ , such that for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ :

$$\|\theta - \Pi\theta\|_{1,\Omega} \leq ch^s \|\theta\|_{1+s,\Omega} \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbf{H}^{1+s}(\Omega). \quad (3.12)$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto the finite element subspace  $\mathbf{Q}_h$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies the following error estimate

$$\|q - \mathcal{P}q\|_{0,\Omega} \leq Ch \|q\|_{s,\Omega} \quad \forall q \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega). \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover, the following commuting diagram property holds true:

$$\text{div } \mathcal{R}\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{P}(\text{div } \mathbf{v}) \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)^2 \cap \mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega). \quad (3.14)$$

We are now in a position to establish the unique solvability, and the convergence properties of the discrete problem (3.10).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $\kappa > 0$ , then problem (3.10) admits a unique solution  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in \mathbf{Z}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h$ . Moreover, there exists  $\hat{C} > 0$  independent of  $h$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w - w_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega)} + \|p - p_h\|_{0,\Omega} \\ & \leq \hat{C} \inf_{(\theta_h, \mathbf{v}_h, q_h) \in \mathbf{Z}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h} (\|w - \theta_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega)} + \|p - q_h\|_{0,\Omega}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{Q}$  is the unique solution to problem (2.4).

PROOF. It is enough verified the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. In fact, it is well known (see [8]) that there exists  $\bar{c}_2 > 0$ , independent of  $h$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h \\ \mathbf{v}_h \neq 0}} \frac{|b_2(q_h, \mathbf{v}_h)|}{\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega)}} \geq \bar{c}_2 \|q_h\|_{0,\Omega} \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h.$$

Now, we characterize the discrete kernel of the bilinear form  $b_2$ , which is needed to prove the discrete inf-sup condition for the bilinear form  $b_1$ . We have

$$K_{2h} := \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h : b_2(q_h, \mathbf{v}_h) = 0 \quad \forall q_h \in \mathbf{Q}_h\} = \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H}_h : \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_h = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

The next step consists in proving that the bilinear form  $b_1$  satisfies the discrete inf-sup condition on  $Z_h \times K_{2h}$ . Then, given  $\mathbf{v}_h \in K_{2h}$ , since  $\mathbf{v}_h$  is divergence free in  $\Omega$ , which is simply connected, as in the continuous case, there exists a scalar function  $z_h \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\mathbf{v}_h = \mathbf{curl} z_h$  in  $\Omega$  and  $z_h = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ . Therefore,  $z_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_2(T)$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ , hence  $z_h \in Z_h$ . Thus, using the Poincaré inequality, we have that

$$\sup_{\substack{\theta_h \in Z_h \\ \theta_h \neq 0}} \frac{b_1(\theta_h, \mathbf{v}_h)}{\|\theta_h\|_{1,\Omega}} \geq \frac{b_1(z_h, \mathbf{v}_h)}{\|z_h\|_{1,\Omega}} \geq \bar{c}_1 \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{0,\Omega} = \bar{c}_1 \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} \quad \mathbf{v}_h \in K_{2h},$$

where  $\bar{c}_1$  is independent of  $h$ , which establishes the discrete inf-sup condition for  $b_1$ .

Next, repeating the arguments used in the continuous case, we have that the bilinear form  $a$  is clearly  $Z$ -elliptic.

Finally, estimate (3.15) is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5, and then the proof is completed.  $\square$

The following theorem provides the rate of convergence of our mixed finite element scheme (3.10).

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in Z \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{Q}$  and  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in Z_h \times \mathbf{H}_h \times \mathbf{Q}_h$  be the unique solutions to the continuous and discrete problems (2.4) and (3.10), respectively. Assume that  $w \in H^{1+s}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)$  and  $p \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)$ , for some  $s \in (0, 1]$ . Then, there exists  $\hat{C} > 0$  independent of  $h$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w - w_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} + \|p - p_h\|_{0,\Omega} \\ & \leq \hat{C} h^s (\|w\|_{1+s,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s(\operatorname{div};\Omega)} + \|p\|_{s,\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The proof follows from (3.15) and standard error estimates for the operators  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\Pi$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  (see (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), respectively).  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *Let us introduce the local Raviart-Thomas space of order zero*

$$\mathbb{RT}_0(T) := \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$  is a generic vector of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Then, we define the following finite element subspaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_h &:= \{\theta_h \in Z : \theta_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_1(T), \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_h &:= \{\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbf{H} : \mathbf{v}_h|_T \in \mathbb{RT}_0(T), \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}_h &:= \{q_h \in \mathbf{Q} : q_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_0(T), \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we introduce the following Galerkin scheme associated with the continuous variational formulation (2.4): Find  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in Z_h \times \mathcal{H}_h \times \mathcal{Q}_h$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(w_h, \theta_h) + b_1(\theta_h, \mathbf{u}_h) &= G(\theta_h) \quad \forall \theta_h \in \mathcal{Z}_h, \\ b_1(w_h, \mathbf{v}_h) + b_2(p_h, \mathbf{v}_h) &= F(\mathbf{v}_h) \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathcal{H}_h, \\ b_2(q_h, \mathbf{u}_h) &= 0 \quad \forall q_h \in \mathcal{Q}_h, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$N$	$h$	$e_1(w)$	$r_1(w)$	$e_H(\mathbf{u})$	$r_H(\mathbf{u})$	$e_0(p)$	$r_0(p)$
27	0.5854	1.3566e-2	—	1.2573e-2	—	1.8466e-1	—
96	0.2945	2.6996e-3	2.3502	2.6033e-3	2.2924	9.3127e-2	0.9966
333	0.1536	6.6007e-4	2.1624	6.4834e-4	2.1343	5.2786e-2	0.8915
1265	0.0789	1.5754e-4	2.1495	1.5612e-4	2.1362	2.6921e-2	1.0103
4972	0.0410	3.9954e-5	2.0993	3.9774e-5	2.0921	1.3304e-2	1.0785
19732	0.0209	9.8486e-6	2.0902	9.8267e-6	2.0868	6.5483e-3	1.0580
27003	0.0188	7.1936e-6	2.0054	7.1798e-6	2.0157	5.6043e-3	1.0911

Table 1: Example 1: Convergence history for the stabilized mixed  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  FE approximation of (1.1). Number of mesh nodes, meshsize and errors.

$N$	$h$	$e_1(w)$	$r_1(w)$	$e_H(\mathbf{u})$	$r_H(\mathbf{u})$	$e_0(p)$	$r_0(p)$
27	0.5854	3.7206e-01	—	1.8668e-01	—	1.3879e-01	—
96	0.2945	1.6319e-01	1.1998	8.6598e-02	1.2037	7.0867e-02	0.9786
333	0.1536	8.5384e-02	0.9945	4.7029e-02	0.9953	4.0290e-02	0.8670
1265	0.0789	4.1217e-02	1.0928	2.0609e-02	1.0931	2.0568e-02	1.0088
4972	0.0410	2.0997e-02	1.0320	1.0499e-02	1.0321	1.0167e-02	1.0781
19732	0.0209	1.0445e-02	1.0422	5.2227e-03	1.0422	5.0048e-03	1.0579
77816	0.0114	5.1526e-03	1.1672	2.5763e-03	1.1672	2.5213e-03	1.1324

Table 2: Example 1: Convergence history for the stabilized mixed  $\mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{RT}_0 - \mathbb{P}_0$  FE approximation of (1.1). Number of mesh nodes, meshsize and errors.

where  $\kappa > 0$  being the same parameter employed in the continuous formulation (2.4). Then, using the arguments considered in this section and the results given in [13], it is easy to prove the following results regarding existence and uniqueness of solution to the discrete scheme (3.16) and the rate of convergence.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Assume that  $\kappa > 0$ , then problem (3.16) admits a unique solution  $(w_h, \mathbf{u}_h, p_h) \in \mathcal{Z}_h \times \mathcal{H}_h \times \mathcal{Q}_h$ . Moreover, assume that  $w \in \mathbf{H}^{1+s}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)^2$ ,  $\text{div } \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)$  and  $p \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Omega)$ , then, there exists  $\hat{C} > 0$  independent of  $h$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w - w_h\|_{1,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbf{H}(\text{div};\Omega)} + \|p - p_h\|_{0,\Omega} \\ & \leq \hat{C}h^s (\|w\|_{1+s,\Omega} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{H}^s(\text{div};\Omega)} + \|p\|_{s,\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

where  $(w, \mathbf{u}, p) \in \mathcal{Z} \times \mathbf{H} \times \mathcal{Q}$  is the unique solution to problem (2.4).

#### 4. Numerical results

In what follows we present three numerical examples using the mixed FE methods described in Section 3, which confirm the theoretical results proved above.




Figure 1: Example 1: Errors versus the meshsize associated to the mixed FE schemes (3.10) and (3.16) of (1.1) using  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  (left) and  $\mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{RT}_0 - \mathbb{P}_0$  elements (right). See values in Tables 1,2.

#### 4.1. Example 1: Numerical validation

First, we consider a square domain  $\Omega = (0, \frac{\pi}{2})^2$ , we set  $\nu = 0.1$ ,  $\kappa = 0.01$  and choose suitable source and boundary data  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}, p_0$  so that the exact solutions of (1.1) are the smooth functions

$$w(x, y) = 2 \sin(x) \sin(y), \quad \mathbf{u}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(x) \cos(y), & -\cos(x) \sin(y) \end{pmatrix}^t, \\ p(x, y) = (x - \pi/4)^2 + (y - \pi/4)^2,$$

satisfying  $\mathbf{curl} w = 2(\sin(x) \cos(y), \cos(x) \sin(y))^t$ ,  $\nabla p = 2(x - \pi/4, y - \pi/4)^t$ ,  $\text{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . The boundary  $\Sigma$  consists in the top and right sides of the domain, whereas  $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \setminus \Sigma$ . We construct a nonuniform partition  $\mathcal{T}_h$  of  $\Omega$  and we form a successive refinement  $\mathcal{T}_{h'}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_h$ , where the convergence of the approximate solutions using  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  and  $\mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{RT}_0 - \mathbb{P}_0$  elements is measured by total and individual errors in the  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $H(\text{div}; \Omega)$ , and  $L^2(\Omega)$ -norms and rates defined as

$$e_1(w) := \|w - w_h\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad e_H(\mathbf{u}) := \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{H(\text{div}; \Omega)}, \quad e_0(\mathbf{u}) := \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{0, \Omega}, \\ r_1(w) := \frac{\log(e_1(w)/\hat{e}_1(w))}{\log(h/\hat{h})}, \quad r_H(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{\log(e_H(\mathbf{u})/\hat{e}_H(\mathbf{u}))}{\log(h/\hat{h})}, \quad r_0(p) := \frac{\log(e_0(p)/\hat{e}_0(p))}{\log(h/\hat{h})},$$

where  $e$  and  $\hat{e}$  denote errors computed on two consecutive meshes of sizes  $h$  and  $\hat{h}$ . These quantities are displayed in Figure 1 and Tables 1, 2. For the case of  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  elements, an experimental convergence rate of order  $h^2$  is achieved for the vorticity and for the velocity norms, this fact because the exact solution in this example is smooth, whereas the pressure norm exhibit an  $O(h)$  order of convergence. Experimental convergence rates of order  $h$  are observed for all fields when using a  $\mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{RT}_0 - \mathbb{P}_0$  approximation. These results agree well with the theoretical error estimates from Section 3. The approximate solutions obtained with  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  elements are depicted in Figure 2.

Our method allows the successful application of boundary conditions of different type as those analyzed here. We present two such cases in what follows, where we stick to the case of  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  elements.




Figure 2: Example 1: Approximate solutions  $w_h, p_h, \mathbf{u}_h$  (top left, top right, and bottom, respectively) to (1.1) using the stabilized FE scheme. The mesh has 121993 vertices and 242704 elements.

#### 4.2. Example 2: Lid-driven cavity

We perform the classical lid-driven cavity benchmark, describing the flow in a container driven by the uniform motion of one lid. The domain is the square  $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$  discretized on an unstructured mesh with 12139 nodes and 23876 elements. In this case we set  $\nu = 0.01$ ,  $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\kappa = 0.01$  and we impose no slip conditions ( $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ ) on the left, right and bottom boundaries, whereas on the top we put  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}$  with  $\mathbf{a} = (1, 0)^\dagger$ . Pressure and vorticity fields associated with this type of flow are expected to exhibit corner singularities, that may hinder the convergence of numerical approximations. With our method we obtain discrete fields that remain stable, and corner singularities are satisfactorily resolved, as seen from Figure 3. This is also observed in Figure 4, where we display some velocity profiles for successively refined meshes, which are qualitatively and quantitatively comparable to those reported in [6, 21].

#### 4.3. Example 3: Secondary settling tank

For our last example we assess the applicability of the method in approximating the stationary flow field on a half-section of a secondary settling tank (see [9, 25]). A sketch of the domain is depicted in Figure 5. The inflow, outflow and overflow boundaries  $\Gamma_{\text{in}}, \Gamma_{\text{out}}, \Gamma_{\text{off}}$  have lengths of 1.5,




Figure 3: Example 2: Approximate solutions  $w_h, p_h, \mathbf{u}_h$  (top left, top right, and bottom, respectively) of the lid-driven cavity test employing a  $\mathbb{P}_2 - \mathbb{BDM}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  mixed FE method.

0.5 and a 0.5 meters, respectively. A pressure condition with an unknown velocity distribution is imposed on  $\Gamma_{\text{off}}$  by setting  $p = p_{\text{off}} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}$  with  $\mathbf{a} = (0, 1.25e-4)^\top$ . A parabolic velocity profile and a compatible vorticity are set on  $\Gamma_{\text{in}}$  as  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{b}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  with  $\mathbf{b}_{\text{in}} = (0, 1.25e-3(x^2 - 2.25))^\top$  and  $w = w_{\text{in}} = 0$ . On  $\Gamma_{\text{out}}$  we apply  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{b}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  with  $\mathbf{b}_{\text{out}} = (0, -1.25e-4)^\top$ ,  $w = w_{\text{out}} = 0$ , and on the remainder of the boundary we impose no-slip data. Since an exact solution is not available, we measure errors by using as a reference solution an approximation computed on a fine mesh (of 93223 vertices and 184972 elements). These errors are reported in Table 3, where we observe that the convergence rates, now slightly below order  $h$  for all fields, have deteriorate with respect to those obtained in Example 1. Such a behavior may be explained by the poor regularity of the solutions (associated to the discontinuity of the boundary data for the velocity), and by the non-convexity of the domain. The approximate solutions are presented in Figure 6.

### Acknowledgement

The first author was partially supported by CONICYT-Chile through FONDECYT postdoctorado No.3120197, by project Inserción de Capital Humano Avanzado en la Academia No. 79112012, and DIUBB through project 120808 GI/EF. The second author was partially sup-




Figure 4: Example 2: Profiles of velocity components  $u_1$  at  $x = 0.5$  (left) and  $u_2$  at  $y = 0.5$  (right), for unstructured meshes with  $m$  nodes on each side of the domain, and comparison with Navier-Stokes simulations with  $\text{Re} = 100$  from [21].




Figure 5: Example 3: Sketch of the domain and boundaries considered on a section of the secondary settling tank.

ported by CONICYT-Chile through FONDECYT project No.11100180, by DIUBB through project 120808 GI/EF, and Anillo ANANUM, ACT1118, CONICYT (Chile). The third author acknowledges partial support by the ERC advanced grant 227058, project Mathcard, “Mathematical Modelling and Simulation of the Cardiovascular System”. In addition, the authors thank Ricardo Oyarzúa for the fruitful discussions.

## References

- [1] M. AMARA, D. CAPATINA-PAPAGHIUC, AND D. TRUJILLO, *Stabilized finite element method for Navier-Stokes equations with physical boundary conditions*. Math. Comp., 76(259) (2007) 1195–1217.
- [2] M. AMARA, E. CHACÓN VERA, AND D. TRUJILLO, *Vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation for Stokes problem*. Math. Comp., 73(248) (2004) 1673–1697.
- [3] R. ARAYA, G.R. BARRENECHEA, AND F. VALENTIN, *A stabilized finite-element method for the Stokes problem including element and edge residuals*. IMA J. Numer. Anal., 27(1) (2007) 172–197.

$N$	$h$	$e_1(w)$	$r_1(w)$	$e_H(\mathbf{u})$	$r_H(\mathbf{u})$	$e_0(p)$	$r_0(p)$
451	0.6440	1.4516e+0	—	2.2377e-2	—	1.5722e-1	—
1722	0.3462	8.4490e-1	0.8720	1.3817e-2	0.7781	9.6652e-2	0.7853
3715	0.2424	6.1174e-1	0.9062	9.8126e-3	0.9706	6.9931e-2	0.9105
6543	0.1756	4.6741e-1	0.8348	7.3027e-3	0.8990	5.1902e-2	0.9265
10171	0.1636	4.3890e-1	0.8754	6.9105e-3	0.8835	4.8621e-2	0.9311
14630	0.1228	3.4194e-1	0.8636	5.3044e-3	0.9157	3.7638e-2	0.8968
20534	0.1063	3.1820e-1	0.8957	4.8375e-3	0.9386	2.6829e-2	0.9516
26019	0.0093	2.6571e-1	0.9241	3.7629e-3	0.8853	2.0437e-2	0.8903
32775	0.0083	1.9823e-1	0.9067	2.8171e-3	0.8604	1.7314e-2	0.9463

Table 3: Example 3: Experimental convergence history for the stabilized mixed FE approximation of the Stokes problem (1.1) on a half-section of a secondary settling unit.

- [4] T. BARRIOS, R. BUSTINZA, G. GARCÍA, AND E. HERNÁNDEZ, *On stabilized mixed methods for generalized Stokes problem based on the velocity-pseudostress formulation: a priori error estimates*. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 237/240 (2012) 78–87.
- [5] T. BARRIOS, AND G.N. GATICA, *An augmented mixed finite element method with Lagrange multipliers: a priori and a posteriori error analyses*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 200(2) (2007) 653–676.
- [6] P.V. BOCHEV, *Analysis of least-squares finite element methods for the Navier–Stokes equations*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 34(5) (1997) 1817–1844.
- [7] F. BREZZI, AND J. DOUGLAS, *Stabilized mixed methods for the Stokes problem*. Numer. Math., 53() (1988) 225–235.
- [8] F. BREZZI, AND M. FORTIN, *Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [9] R. BÜRGER, R. RUIZ-BAIER, AND H. TORRES, *A stabilized finite volume element formulation for sedimentation-consolidation processes*. SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 34(3), (2012) B265–B289.
- [10] J. DOUGLAS, AND J. WANG, *An absolutely stabilized finite element method for the Stokes problem*. Math. Comp., 52(186) (1989) 495–508.
- [11] H.-Y. DUAN, AND G.-P. LIANG, *On the velocity-pressure-vorticity least-squares mixed finite element method for the 3D Stokes equations*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 41(6) (2003) 2114–2130.
- [12] F. DUBOIS, *Vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation for the Stokes problem*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 25(13) (2002) 1091–1119.
- [13] F. DUBOIS, M. SALAUN, AND S. SALMON, *First vorticity-velocity-pressure numerical scheme for the Stokes problem*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 192(44–46) (2003) 4877–4907.
- [14] L.E. FIGUEROA, G.N. GATICA, AND N. HEUER, *A priori and a posteriori error analysis of an augmented mixed finite element method for incompressible fluid flows*. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 198(2) (2008) 280–291.
- [15] L.E. FIGUEROA, G.N. GATICA, AND A. MARQUEZ, *Augmented mixed finite element methods for the stationary Stokes equations*. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 31(2) (2008) 1082–1119.




Figure 6: Example 3: Approximate solutions  $w_h, p_h, \mathbf{u}_h$  (top left, top right, and bottom, respectively) for the settling tank. The unstructured mesh has 42050 vertices and 84098 triangular elements.

- [16] L. FRANCA, AND F. FREY, *Stabilized finite element methods: II. The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 99(2-3) (1992) 209–233.
- [17] G.N. GATICA, *Solvability and Galerkin approximations of a class of nonlinear operator equations*. Z. Anal. Anwendungen, 21(3) (2002) 761–781.
- [18] G.N. GATICA, *Analysis of a new augmented mixed finite element method for linear elasticity allowing  $\text{RT}_0 - \mathbb{P}_1 - \mathbb{P}_0$  approximations*. M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 40(1) (2006) 1–28.
- [19] G.N. GATICA, L.F. GATICA, AND A. MÁRQUEZ, *Augmented mixed finite element methods for a vorticity-based velocity–pressure–stress formulation of the Stokes problem in 2D*. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Fluids, 67(4) (2011) 450–477.
- [20] G.N. GATICA, N. HEUER, AND S. MEDDAHI, *On the numerical analysis of nonlinear twofold saddle point problems*. IMA J. Numer. Anal., 23(2) (2003) 301–330.
- [21] U. GHIA, K.N. GHIA, AND C.T. SHIN, *High-Re solutions for incompressible flow using the Navier-Stokes equations and a multigrid method*. J. Comput. Phys., 48(3) (1982) 387–411.
- [22] V. GIRAULT, AND P. A. RAVIART, *Finite element methods for Navier-Stokes equations. Theory and algorithms*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [23] B. JIANG, *The least-squares finite element method. Theory and applications in computational fluid dynamics and electromagnetics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [24] N. KECHKAR, AND D. SILVESTER, *Analysis of locally stabilized mixed finite element methods for the Stokes problem*. Math. Comp., 58 (1992) 1–10.
- [25] D. KLEINE, AND B.D. REDDY, *Finite element analysis of flows in secondary settling tanks*. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engrg., 64(7) (2005) 849–876.
- [26] M. SALAUN, AND S. SALMON, *Numerical stabilization of the Stokes problem in vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation*. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 196(9-12) (2007) 1767–1786.

# Centro de Investigación en Ingeniería Matemática (CI<sup>2</sup>MA)

## PRE-PUBLICACIONES 2013

- 2013-06 MOHAMED HELAL, ERWAN HINGANT, LAURENT PUJO-MENJOUET, GLENN WEBB: *Alzheimer's disease: Analysis of a mathematical model incorporating the role of prions*
- 2013-07 RICARDO OYARZÚA, DOMINIK SCHÖTZAU: *An exactly divergence-free finite element method for a generalized Boussinesq problem*
- 2013-08 HERNÁN MARDONES, CARLOS M. MORA: *Stable numerical methods for two classes of SDEs with multiplicative noise: bilinear and scalar*
- 2013-09 ALFREDO BERMÚDEZ, DOLORES GÓMEZ, RODOLFO RODRÍGUEZ, PABLO VENEGAS: *Mathematical and numerical analysis of a transient non-linear axisymmetric eddy current model*
- 2013-10 ANA ALONSO-RODRIGUEZ, JESSIKA CAMAÑO, RODOLFO RODRÍGUEZ, ALBERTO VALLI: *A posteriori error estimates for the problem of electrostatics with a dipole source*
- 2013-11 ZHIXING FU, LUIS F. GATICA, FRANCISCO J. SAYAS: *Matlab tools for HDG in three dimensions*
- 2013-12 SALIM MEDDAHI, DAVID MORA, RODOLFO RODRÍGUEZ: *A finite element analysis of a pseudostress formulation for the Stokes eigenvalue problem*
- 2013-13 GABRIEL CARCAMO, FABIÁN FLORES-BAZÁN: *A geometric characterization of strong duality in nonconvex quadratic programming with linear and nonconvex quadratic constraints*
- 2013-14 RAIMUND BÜRGER, CHRISTOPHE CHALONS, LUIS M. VILLADA: *Anti-diffusive and random-sampling Lagrangian-remap schemes for the multi-class Lighthill-Whitham-Richards traffic model*
- 2013-15 FERNANDO BETANCOURT, RAIMUND BÜRGER, STEFAN DIEHL, CAMILO MEJÍAS: *Advance methods of flux identification for Clarifier-Thickener simulation models*
- 2013-16 FABIÁN FLORES-BAZÁN: *A Fritz John necessary optimality condition of the alternative-type*
- 2013-17 VERONICA ANAYA, DAVID MORA, RICARDO RUIZ-BAIER: *An augmented mixed finite element method for the vorticity-velocity-pressure formulation of the Stokes equations*

Para obtener copias de las Pre-Publicaciones, escribir o llamar a: DIRECTOR, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C, CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE, TEL.: 41-2661324, o bien, visitar la página web del centro: <http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl>



**CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN  
INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA (CI<sup>2</sup>MA)  
Universidad de Concepción**



Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile  
Tel.: 56-41-2661324/2661554/2661316  
<http://www.ci2ma.udec.cl>



---